

EDITORIAL COMMENT

Optical Coherence Tomography

A New Tool to Detect Tissue Coverage in Drug-Eluting Stents*

Carlo Di Mario, MD, PhD, FACC,
Peter Barlis, FRACP

London, United Kingdom

The 1990s saw a parallel growth of intravascular ultrasound (IVUS) and stent implantation, heralded and explained by the seminal observations that stents implanted at low pressure under angiographic guidance alone are frequently underdeployed and have higher risk of thrombosis (1). With a high price to pay in terms of late hyperplasia in the first months after implantation, meticulous attention was paid to overexpansion as a potential remedy to reduce restenosis. Despite conflicting results of studies of IVUS-guided stenting (2,3), IVUS was largely applied to guide stenting in complex coronary lesions (4). The introduction of drug-eluting stents (DES) has reset the boundary of optimal stent expansion to prevent thrombosis and restenosis, with risk thresholds as low as 4.5 mm², achievable in most cases without ultrasound guidance (5). The new challenge for interventional cardiology became late stent thrombosis, and IVUS seemed inadequate to prevent this new evil, with late malapposition (6), but not post-procedural malapposition (7), correlated with late thrombosis.

See page 168

Unlike conventional stents that develop circumferential coverage with an average thickness of 500 μm or more, which are well-visualized with IVUS and angiography (1-mm late loss) (8), DES delay and prevent the hyperplastic response so that the average late lumen loss for sirolimus- or paclitaxel-eluting stents can be lower than 100 μm (9). Therefore, the amount of intimal thickening will not be detectable with IVUS because of its limited axial resolution and the presence of artifacts around struts.

In this issue of *JACC: Cardiovascular Interventions*, Suzuki et al. (10) suggest that another intravascular imaging

technique may replace IVUS for the more refined assessment required by DES. In the 11 stents with a small hyperplastic response measured with histology, IVUS overestimated lumen area and underestimated in-stent hyperplasia. In this swine model of stent overexpansion, the optical coherence tomography (OCT) measurements were much closer to the histological measurements. The receiver operator curve of sensitivity/specificity showed a greater diagnostic accuracy, approaching unity as opposed to a disappointing 0.78 with IVUS (Fig. 5 in Suzuki et al. [10]). This was expected because OCT has a far greater resolution than IVUS and was initially introduced to study superficial plaque components (11), with studies claiming the technique is also able to detect macrophages in unstable plaques (12,13).

Several small studies have recently been published highlighting the application of OCT for the in vivo detection of stent tissue coverage at follow-up (14–16). The study by Matsumoto et al. (16) used both IVUS and OCT in 34 patients following sirolimus-eluting stent (SES) implantation. The mean neointimal thickness was 52.5 μm, and the prevalence of struts covered by thin neointima, which were undetectable by IVUS, was 64%. The average rate of neointima-covered struts in an individual SES was 89%. Nine SES (16%) showed full coverage by neointima, whereas the remaining stents had partially uncovered struts. This small series confirms the superiority of OCT over IVUS for the detection of thin layers of neointimal tissue following stent implantation.

The crisp OCT images obtained in swine by Suzuki et al. (10) in their experimental model are perfectly reproducible in patients in daily clinical practice. A cumbersome technique of proximal balloon occlusion and subselective intracoronary flushing with crystalloid solutions was used in this study. This strategy can be replaced by continuous injection of a viscous contrast medium via the guiding catheter (17). The OCT image wire is not steerable but can be inserted via an over the wire lumen larger than 0.019 inches using either a single lumen (e.g., Transit, Cordis, Johnson & Johnson, Miami, Florida) or a double lumen monorail—over the wire catheter such as the TwinPass 0.023 in (Vascular Solutions Inc., Minneapolis, Minnesota).

The use of the nonocclusive (flush-only) technique is compatible with OCT systems capable of acquisition speeds between 2 and 3 mm/s; therefore, it is fast enough to generate interpretable images in a safe and rapid manner and is perfectly tolerated with no chest pain or major ECG changes or arrhythmias if an iso-osmolar contrast agent such as iodixanol 320 (Visipaque, GE Health Care, Cork, Ireland) is used (8,18). The OCT procedure is also set to become greatly simplified with the introduction into clinical practice (within 1 to 2 years) of Fourier or optical frequency domain imaging technologies (19), with acquisition speeds of more than 20 mm/s enabling complete pullbacks in only

*Editorials published in *JACC: Cardiovascular Interventions* reflect the views of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of *JACC: Cardiovascular Interventions* or the American College of Cardiology.

From Royal Brompton Hospital, London, United Kingdom. Drs. Di Mario and Barlis have received minor travel grants and contributions to organize didactical independent courses from LightLab, USA.

a matter of 3 to 5 s and eliminating the need for proximal vessel balloon occlusion.

The scary picture of DES associated with increased mortality and myocardial infarction (20,21) has lost credibility (22-24). Still, the SES Cypher (Johnson & Johnson, Cordis) and the paclitaxel-eluting stent TAXUS (Boston Scientific Corp., Boston, Massachusetts), the only 2 DES with sufficient number of observations at late follow-up, show a small, but worrisome, increase in late thrombosis (22,25).

Trialists are faced with the challenge of studying a phenomenon so rare and so far in time from the initial treatment that they require prolonged studies of tens of thousands of patients to provide meaningful answers. Studies of the magnitude of the largest secondary prevention or thrombolytic megatrials are very difficult to carry out because of cost and availability of patients and suitable centers. The duration of these trials is an equally important problem because their results risk being invalidated by the availability of new antiplatelet treatments and new DES, the latter with the potential to offer greater safety because of thinner struts, more conformable designs, and biologically tissue friendly coatings and drugs. Therefore, OCT has the potential to offer surrogate end points to test the ability of new DES to promote consistent tissue coverage of all struts. Studies of new DES should include OCT substudies of adequate size and with serial examinations at well-selected time intervals after implantation. Such studies could identify the most promising DES and provide evidence to guide duration of antiplatelet treatment after different DES types.

Reprint requests and correspondence: Prof. Carlo Di Mario, Department of Cardiology, Royal Brompton Hospital, Sydney Street, London SW3 6NP, United Kingdom. E-mail: c.dimario@rbht.nhs.uk.

REFERENCES

1. Colombo A, Hall P, Nakamura S, et al. Intracoronary stenting without anticoagulation accomplished with intravascular ultrasound guidance. *Circulation* 1995;91:1676-88.
2. Albiero R, Rau T, Schluter M, et al. Comparison of immediate and intermediate-term results of intravascular ultrasound versus angiography-guided Palmaz-Schatz stent implantation in matched lesions. *Circulation* 1997;96:2997-3005.
3. Mudra H, di Mario C, de Jaegere P, et al. Randomized comparison of coronary stent implantation under ultrasound or angiographic guidance to reduce stent restenosis (OPTICUS Study). *Circulation* 2001;104:1343-9.
4. Moussa I, Di Mario C, Moses J, et al. Coronary stenting after rotational atherectomy in calcified and complex lesions. Angiographic and clinical follow-up results. *Circulation* 1997;96:128-36.
5. Fitzgerald PJ, Oshima A, Hayase M, et al. Final results of the Can Routine Ultrasound Influence Stent Expansion (CRUISE) study. *Circulation* 2000;102:523-30.
6. Cook S, Wenaweser P, Togni M, et al. Incomplete stent apposition and very late stent thrombosis after drug-eluting stent implantation. *Circulation* 2007;115:2426-34.
7. Kimura M, Mintz GS, Carlier S, et al. Outcome after acute incomplete sirolimus-eluting stent apposition as assessed by serial intravascular ultrasound. *Am J Cardiol* 2006;98:436-42.
8. Tanigawa J, Barlis P, Di Mario C. Intravascular optical coherence tomography: Optimisation of image acquisition and quantitative assessment of stent strut apposition. *EuroIntervention* 2007;3:128-36.
9. Fujii K, Mintz GS, Kobayashi Y, et al. Contribution of stent under-expansion to recurrence after sirolimus-eluting stent implantation for in-stent restenosis. *Circulation* 2004;109:1085-8.
10. Suzuki Y, Ikeno F, Koizumi T, et al. In vivo comparison between optical coherence tomography and intravascular ultrasound for detecting small degrees of in-stent neointima after stent implantation. *J Am Coll Cardiol Intv* 2008;1:168-73.
11. Jang IK, Bouma BE, Kang DH, et al. Visualization of coronary atherosclerotic plaques in patients using optical coherence tomography: comparison with intravascular ultrasound. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2002;39:604-9.
12. Tearney GJ, Yabushita H, Houser SL, et al. Quantification of macrophage content in atherosclerotic plaques by optical coherence tomography. *Circulation* 2003;107:113-9.
13. MacNeill BD, Jang IK, Bouma BE, et al. Focal and multi-focal plaque macrophage distributions in patients with acute and stable presentations of coronary artery disease. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2004;44:972-9.
14. Takano M, Inami S, Jang IK, et al. Evaluation by optical coherence tomography of neointimal coverage of sirolimus-eluting stent three months after implantation. *Am J Cardiol* 2007;99:1033-8.
15. Chen BX, Ma FY, Wei L, et al. Neointimal coverage of bare metal and sirolimus-eluting stents evaluated with optical coherence tomography. *Heart* 2007 Oct 8; [E-pub ahead of print].
16. Matsumoto D, Shite J, Shinke T, et al. Neointimal coverage of sirolimus-eluting stents at 6-month follow-up: evaluated by optical coherence tomography. *Eur Heart J* 2007;28:961-7.
17. Prati F, Cera M, Ramazzotti V, Imola F, Giudice R, Albertucci M. Safety and feasibility of a new non-occlusive technique for facilitated intracoronary optical coherence tomography (OCT) acquisition in various clinical and anatomical scenarios. *EuroIntervention* 2007;3:365-70.
18. Chai CM, Almen T, Baath L, Besjakov J. Adding sodium and calcium ions to the contrast medium iodixanol reduced the risk of ventricular fibrillation during perfusion of the left coronary artery in pigs: effects of electrolytes, viscosity, and chemotoxicity of an isotonic perfusate. *Acad Radiol* 2004;11:583-93.
19. Yun SH, Tearney GJ, Vakoc BJ, et al. Comprehensive volumetric optical microscopy in vivo. *Nat Med* 2006;12:1429-33.
20. Camenzind E, Steg PG, Wijns W. Stent thrombosis late after implantation of first-generation drug-eluting stents: a cause for concern. *Circulation* 2007;115:1440-55.
21. Lagerqvist B, James SK, Stenstrand U, Lindback J, Nilsson T, Wallentin L. Long-term outcomes with drug-eluting stents versus bare-metal stents in Sweden. *N Engl J Med* 2007;356:1009-19.
22. Stone GW, Moses JW, Ellis SG, et al. Safety and efficacy of sirolimus- and paclitaxel-eluting coronary stents. *N Engl J Med* 2007;356:998-1008.
23. James SK, Carlsson J, Lindback J, et al. Long term follow up of additional Swedish registry data does not indicate an increased mortality with drug-eluting stents but the benefit is limited and the risk of blood clots is sustained. Paper presented at: European Society of Cardiology 2007 World Congress; September 1-5, 2007; Vienna.
24. Jeremias A, Kirtane A. Balancing efficacy and safety of drug-eluting stents in patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention. *Ann Intern Med* 2008;148:234-8.
25. Daemen J, Wenaweser P, Tsuchida K, et al. Early and late coronary stent thrombosis of sirolimus-eluting and paclitaxel-eluting stents in routine clinical practice: data from a large two-institutional cohort study. *Lancet* 2007;369:667-78.